Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/829,491	CHIU, ING-MING
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Louis D. Lieto	1632
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowance</u>		
(1) <u>Louis D. Lieto</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Pamela A. Docherty</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 7 December 2005	Time: <u>1 pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
		•
Claims discussed: 6 and 41		
o anu 41		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.	acord of the cubatance of the	intensions cines the intensions
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writto ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
Delvoral Cinel		
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

ن کیتر شدور

Application No. 10/829,491

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Amendments to the claims were discussed on 12/07/2005, in order to make them ready for allowance. Applicants agreed on language amending claims 6 and 41 to read on a DNA fragment comprising nucleotides 595-3233 of SEQ ID NO. 2. Further Applicant agreed to language amending the title to a transgenic mouse.